Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Murray District FY09 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Flood control

Surface and ground water quality

Feedlot runoff

Soil erosion

Grazing management

If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Beaver Creek Watershed due to TMBL, turbidity, and coliform.

Chanarambie Creek watershed due to TMDL, turbidity, and coliform

 From items 1 & 2 above prioritize the local resource concerns to be addressed with EQIP funding for the district. Describe a minimum of 3 categories of the highest priority applications which you would want to receive funding.

Surface water quality in the Chanarambie Creek Watershed

Water control in the Beaver Creek watershed

Feedlot runoff and manure management in with in shore land zones county wide

Grazing management

- Develop a minimum of 3 and maximum of 12 yes/no questions to determine if an application is addressing the high priority concerns described in item 3.
 - 1) Is the land located in the Beaver Creek or Changrambie Creek watersheds?
 - 2) Will a flood control structure be implemented?
 - Will the EQIP application address livestock management within a shore land zone?
 - 4) Does the EQIP application address a water resource concern?
 - Does the EQIP application address two resource concerns?
 - Does the EQIP application address three or more resource concerns?
 - 7) Is an erosion control practice being implemented?

		2)	20 points	
		3)	8 points	
		4)	5 points	
		5)	5 points	
		6)	5 points	
		7)	5 points	
	6.	Submit this worksheet to your respective ASTC(FO). After approval from the state office, the questions will be entered into the Local Issues section of the ranking tool.		
	7.		t any recommended practices to be actice Payment Document	e deleted from the state Conservation
reviewed signed. This doo	d and a	appr t ser	oved by the State Conservationist be ves as the Local Work Group recomn	fore any EQIP contract is approved and
reviewed signed. This doo	d and a	appr t ser	oved by the State Conservationist be	hanges, and ranking worksheet must be fore any EQIP contract is approved and nendation for FY 09 EQIP. Attached is a
reviewed signed. This doo	d and a	appr t ser	oved by the State Conservationist be ves as the Local Work Group recomn	fore any EQIP contract is approved and

Assign points to the questions in Item #4 as desired to reflect local priorities. The total points assigned to the questions should be between 35 to 60 points.

1) 12 points

LISTANING SESSION

HOWARD Konkol

BRIAN CHRITIANSEN

MIER BORSMA

TONN BLOWN IN MAHL

GANY BRINKS

GREG SLOTTN

Murray SWED

EXTENSION

MOUNTARY BAULAS SEXUCES

MYLANY SWCD

UNITED PRAIRIE EARK

ALLISA Winsmus.